

DOMESTIC DEVELOPMENTS

1. Freeze of Funds to Democratic-Run States / Projects amid govt Shutdown (DW, October 1)

Amid the ongoing U.S. government shutdown that began on October 1, 2025, the White House ordered a freeze on approximately \$26 billion in federal funds earmarked for Democratic-led states. The move halted around \$18 billion meant for New York's major transit and infrastructure projects, along with roughly \$8 billion intended for clean-energy and climate initiatives across 16 Democratic states, including California and Illinois. Critics from the Democratic Party condemned the decision as an act of political retaliation, accusing the administration of weaponizing federal funding to punish opponents and pressure Congress during the budget deadlock. Republican officials, however, defended the freeze as a strategic measure designed to push Democrats toward a broader funding compromise and end the shutdown. The development has further deepened partisan tensions, with fears that prolonged financial disruptions could harm local economies and delay critical public projects.

2. US Agencies/Works that Have Been Affected the Most Due to The Shutdown (Economic Times, October 1)

The article outlines how the U.S. government shutdown unevenly affected federal agencies: some agencies experienced severe disruption, others only moderate impacts, while a few were relatively insulated. Departments reliant on discretionary funding, such as Housing and Urban Development, the Interior Department, and Homeland Security, saw major cuts to operations. Agencies with essential or mandatory programs, or those already funded, fared better: for example, the Social Security Administration and the Department of Veterans Affairs faced minimal interruption. In between were agencies forced to scale back non-critical services but maintain core functions under contingency plans. The piece illustrates how political stalemates translate into concrete operational damage across the federal bureaucracy.

3. Senate Passes Defense Policy Bill Amid Shutdown (New York Times, October 10)

The U.S. Senate overwhelmingly approved a \$925 billion defense policy bill, a rare display of bipartisan cooperation amid the ongoing government shutdown and deep political divisions in Washington. Passed by a 77–20 vote, the legislation represents one of Congress's most significant

annual measures, shaping national security priorities, military pay, and defense programs for the coming fiscal year. The bill includes a 3.8 percent pay raise for U.S. service members, investments in advanced defense technologies, and modernization of weapons systems, while also reinforcing commitments to countering global threats such as China, Russia, and cyber warfare.

In addition to funding, the legislation pushes for greater accountability and transparency within the Pentagon, with measures aimed at reforming procurement practices and improving oversight of defense spending. Democrats succeeded in securing provisions to review and limit the use of the National Guard for domestic political deployments, following past controversies over their use during protests. The Senate also passed an amendment repealing outdated war authorization laws, seen as a symbolic step toward reasserting congressional authority over military action.

The bill's bipartisan backing underscores a shared sense of urgency around national defense, even as most other legislative efforts remain paralyzed by partisan gridlock and the shutdown. However, significant negotiations still lie ahead as the Senate version must be reconciled with the House's version of the bill, which includes sharper partisan provisions. Once the two chambers reach an agreement, the final defense authorization package will be sent to the president for approval, marking a crucial milestone in ensuring continued military operations and strategic readiness.

4. 4,108 federal workers were terminated since the government shutdown began (Reuters, October 14)

Since the government shutdown began on October 1, the Trump administration revealed in a court filing that it has laid off more than 4,000 federal employees, including nearly 800 from the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). The layoffs, part of a broader cost-cutting initiative during the shutdown, have disrupted operations across multiple agencies, delaying health programs, research projects, and essential administrative services. Officials claimed that some of the dismissals resulted from data and processing errors, with layoff notices mistakenly sent to more workers than intended, further fueling criticism of the administration's management of the shutdown. Labor unions and watchdog groups have condemned the move as a violation of federal employment protections, arguing that mass layoffs during a government shutdown contradict legal guidelines requiring furloughs instead of terminations. The decision has sparked lawsuits and mounting political backlash, as public health advocates warn that cutting staff in key health agencies could undermine emergency preparedness, disease monitoring, and long-term health initiatives

IN THE WORLD

1. U.S. Declares "Non-International Armed Conflict" with Caribbean Cartels (PBS, October 1)

President Donald Trump has formally declared that the United States is in a "non-international armed conflict" with drug cartels, labelling cartel operatives as "unlawful combatants." Under this designation, the administration has authorized the Pentagon to carry out military operations targeting cartel networks operating in the Caribbean. This shift in approach follows a series of strikes on suspected narcotics-transport vessels, including off Venezuela, in which U.S. forces have killed individuals whom the government describes as "narcoterrorists." The new framing allows greater executive military flexibility, but it is already drawing sharp legal, political, and human rights criticism: opponents warn the move could bypass congressional oversight, violate international law, and risk escalating U.S. involvement in foreign conflicts without clear accountability.

2. U.S. Cuts Diplomatic Ties with Venezuela (New York Times, September 17)

President Trump has abruptly ended all diplomatic outreach to Venezuela, instructing his special envoy, Richard Grenell, to cease negotiations with President Nicolás Maduro's government. This diplomatic break comes amid escalating tensions after a series of U.S. naval strikes on vessels off Venezuela's coast, strikes the U.S. claims targeted drug traffickers tied to Maduro's regime. Trump, interpreting these maritime actions as part of a broader campaign, has signaled possible expansion to land-based operations, while maintaining that the campaign is aimed at disrupting narcotics routes and cartel networks. Maduro, in response, has warned of retaliation and accused the U.S. of seeking regime change under the guise of fighting drug smuggling. The abrupt shift underscores mounting hostility and the risk that the U.S.—Venezuela conflict could spiral from tactical operations to a broader confrontation.

3. Gaza Ceasefire Talks Begin in Egypt (Washington Post, October 18)

Negotiations began in Sharm el-Sheikh, Egypt, between Israeli and Hamas officials to implement a U.S.-drafted peace plan aimed at ending the two-year Gaza war. The initial phase focuses on a ceasefire, the release of Israeli hostages (both living and dead) in exchange for Palestinian prisoners,

and the partial withdrawal of Israeli forces, while pushing humanitarian aid into Gaza. Still unresolved are deeper issues, such as Hamas's disarmament, governance of Gaza post-conflict, and the sequencing of prisoner release relative to troop withdrawal. Despite the talks, Israel continued striking parts of Gaza, and Palestinians in the enclave watched with a mix of hope and scepticism, as many doubt whether the ceasefire will hold or translate into real relief.

4. First phase of trump's peace plan, israel ceasefire became operational (Washington Post, October 18)

Trump's peace initiative is structured in multiple phases, with the initial phase focused on halting hostilities and arranging a prisoner-hostage exchange. Under this first phase, Israel and Hamas agreed to a ceasefire: Israeli forces began partial withdrawal toward pre-agreed lines, and both sides committed to exchanging hostages and prisoners. Specifically, Hamas would free living Israeli hostages and return the remains of deceased ones, while Israel would reciprocate by releasing Palestinian detainees. The ceasefire officially kicked in on October 10, 2025, following formal approval by the Israeli cabinet. Humanitarian access into Gaza was also to be increased under the terms of this agreement, and a U.S. coordination center was established to oversee compliance. Critics and observers note, however, that major challenges persist—including ensuring Hamas disarms, maintaining the ceasefire over time, and navigating future phases involving governance and reconstruction.

5. Gaza Ceasefire Agreement Ratified (Al Jazeera, October 10)

Israel has officially signed and ratified the first phase of a U.S.-brokered ceasefire deal with Hamas, marking a significant but fragile step toward ending the Gaza conflict. Under the agreement, hostilities are set to halt within 24 hours, with Hamas releasing 48 Israeli captives, 20 alive and 28 deceased, over a 72-hour period, in exchange for the release of hundreds of Palestinian prisoners from Israeli jails. Israel has also agreed to pull back its forces to designated lines inside Gaza and allow expanded humanitarian aid deliveries under international supervision. The accord represents the opening phase of President Trump's broader multi-stage peace plan, which envisions disarmament, reconstruction, and new governance for Gaza in later phases. However, both Israeli and Palestinian officials have cautioned that the ceasefire remains tentative, dependent on strict compliance, and vulnerable to collapse if either side violates its commitments or if political disputes resurface during implementation.

6. U.S. Threatens 100% Tariffs on Chinese Goods (ABC News, October 11)

President Trump has reignited trade tensions with China by threatening to impose what he called "massive" new tariffs, following Beijing's announcement of tighter export restrictions on key

materials, including rare earth minerals critical to U.S. technology and defense industries. The statement, delivered during a White House press briefing, triggered immediate turmoil in financial markets, with major stock indices experiencing their sharpest declines in months amid investor fears of a renewed trade war. Trump accused China of engaging in "economic warfare" and warned that the U.S. would respond with tariffs covering hundreds of billions of dollars' worth of Chinese imports if Beijing did not reverse its export curbs. Analysts noted that such measures could disrupt global supply chains, raise production costs for U.S. manufacturers, and further strain relations between the world's two largest economies. While administration officials defended the stance as a necessary show of strength to protect American industry, critics warned that escalating tariff threats could destabilize global markets, undercut U.S. competitiveness, and jeopardize the fragile post-pandemic economic recovery.

7. US intel guided Ukraine's strikes on Russian energy sites (Financial Times, October 12)

The United States has significantly deepened its behind-the-scenes role in Ukraine's war effort by supplying Kyiv with high-value intelligence used to guide precision strikes on Russia's energy infrastructure. This covert cooperation, while stopping short of direct military involvement, marks a strategic evolution in Washington's support—moving beyond arms and funding toward operational intelligence sharing. The U.S. has reportedly provided detailed surveillance data and satellite imagery that have enabled Ukraine to target Russian refineries, fuel depots, and power grids critical to sustaining Moscow's war machine. The goal of these strikes is to erode Russia's logistical and economic capacity to wage prolonged conflict by disrupting its energy production and export systems. While American officials insist the assistance is defensive in nature, the growing sophistication and accuracy of Ukrainian attacks have fuelled tensions with Moscow, which accuses the U.S. and NATO of direct participation in the war. Analysts warn that this intensifying shadow involvement carries risks of escalation but also reflects Washington's calculation that weakening Russia's energy base could shift the balance of the war in Ukraine's favor without triggering open confrontation.

8. Gaza peace summit formalized (CBS News, October 13)

Under the agreement, a ceasefire between Israel and Hamas took effect, with both sides committing to key steps in a hostage-prisoner exchange. Hamas agreed to release 48 captives, 20 of whom are alive, over 72 hours, while Israel pledged to free hundreds of Palestinian prisoners and pull back its forces to agreed positions. Hostilities were officially set to pause within 24 hours of the cabinet's ratification. Humanitarian aid corridors into Gaza are to be opened under supervision, and the deal forms part of

the first phase of a broader U.S.-backed peace plan. Tensions remain high as each side watches closely for compliance, and both retain the option to resume military action if the arrangements break down.

9. Final Israeli hostages released (NPR, October 13)

The latest agreement resulted in the release of 48 Israeli captives, 20 alive and the rest deceased, over a 72-hour period, with Israel duly handing over hundreds of Palestinian prisoners in return. The truce formally commenced within 24 hours of Israel's cabinet approval, and Israeli forces have begun withdrawing to predetermined positions inside Gaza. Aid convoys are now being directed into Gaza under strict monitoring as part of the deal's humanitarian component. While many see this initial phase as a breakthrough in de-escalating the conflict, the ceasefire's long-term survival remains uncertain, hinging on both sides honouring their commitments and negotiations over the remaining contentious issues like security, governance, and disarmament.



About the Author

Yuvvraj Singh is a Research Intern at Centre for Land Warfare Studies (CLAWS), focusing his research on the USA and its implications on the Global South. He holds a Master's and Bahcelor's in Political Science (with specialisation in International Relations) from Kirori Mal College, Delhi University. He has previously worked at ORF, NITI Aayog, and Ministry of External Affairs (ICWA), researching on a range of topics concerning the Global South, Conflict, Maritime Security, and Sustainability. His research has also been published at several national and international fora like The Diplomat, RT, Indian Council of World Affairs, among others. He can be reached at yuvvraj.claws@gmail.com.



All Rights Reserved 2025 Centre for Land Warfare Studies (CLAWS)

No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, archived, retained or transmitted through print, speech or electronic media without prior written approval from C L A W S The views expressed and suggestions made in the article are solely of the author in his personal capacity and do not have any official endorsement. Attributability of the contents lies purely with author.

