

DOMESTIC DEVELOPMENTS

1. Charlie Kirk assassination leads to political fallout (CNN, September 17)

Political violence in the United States has become an increasingly visible concern, with recent attacks on public figures underscoring a broader trend of partisan hostility turning into physical confrontation. The rise in such incidents reflects deepening polarization, the influence of radicalization, and the availability of weapons, creating conditions where political disputes are more likely to spill over into violence. Experts warn that these acts are not isolated but part of a troubling pattern that threatens democratic norms, undermines civic discourse, and raises urgent questions about security, accountability, and the ability of institutions to withstand intensifying internal pressures.

2. President Trump Issues Executive Order Designating Antifa as a Domestic Terrorist Organization (NPR, September 23)

A recent executive order formally designates Antifa as a domestic terrorist organization, marking a significant escalation in the U.S. government's strategy for countering domestic extremism. The move raises profound legal, constitutional, and enforcement questions—especially regarding due process, First Amendment protections, and how existing statutes accommodate such a designation. Critics caution that the order may be difficult to implement in practice, risk overreach or politicization, and potentially provoke judicial challenges, illustrating the tension between national security claims and civil liberties in managing domestic political conflict.

3. President Trump Files \$15 Billion Defamation Lawsuit Against The New York Times (Reuters, September 16)

Donald Trump has launched a \$15 billion defamation lawsuit against The New York Times and Penguin Random House, accusing them of publishing false and damaging material in articles and a book that he claims were intended to harm his reputation and political prospects. The media organizations have dismissed the case as meritless and an attempt to intimidate independent journalism. Legal experts note that the suit faces steep hurdles under U.S. defamation law, which requires public figures like Trump to prove that publishers acted with "actual malice," making the case both high-profile and difficult to win. Beyond its legal merits, the lawsuit reflects Trump's broader strategy of aggressively confronting media institutions he views as hostile, signaling how battles over

press freedom, political narratives, and the limits of defamation law will continue to play out in the public arena.

4. Supreme Court Rules in Favor of Withholding Foreign Aid (NBC, September 26)

The U.S. Supreme Court has granted the Trump administration permission to withhold about \$4 billion in foreign aid that had previously been approved by Congress, allowing a funding freeze to stand pending further legal proceedings. This decision underscores a contentious clash between executive power and Congress's constitutional "power of the purse." The ruling raises profound questions about the limits of presidential authority over appropriated funds, the enforceability of congressional spending mandates, and the potential humanitarian consequences if aid programs are disrupted.

5. Trump and Hegseth summon 800 Generals for a Rare Meet (<u>CBS News, September 30</u>)

A high-profile and unusually large gathering of senior U.S. military leaders was convened at Quantico, Virginia, by Defense Secretary Pete Hegseth, where he and former President Trump delivered forceful remarks aimed at reshaping military culture and signaling a renewed emphasis on "warrior ethos." The meeting included sweeping new directives on fitness, grooming, and leadership standards, alongside rhetoric decrying "toxic" leadership and what they portrayed as a decline under "woke" norms. Trump even floated using major U.S. cities as "training grounds" and defended loosening rules of engagement to better confront protests. The event underscores an assertive push to reorient the military's identity, authority, and role, raising tensions around civil-military relations, norms of professional conduct, and the balance between order and democratic constraints.

Reactions from within:

- Democratic & Progressive Criticism Democrats and liberal commentators denounced the meeting as dangerous politicization of the military, especially Trump's suggestion of using U.S. cities as "training grounds" and Hegseth's attacks on diversity and "woke culture."
- Republican & Conservative Support Trump allies and conservative media praised it as a
 needed "reset" to restore merit, discipline, and traditional warrior ethos, framing it as a
 correction against political correctness in the armed forces.

- Mainstream Concerns Neutral media and analysts called the event unprecedented in scale and secrecy, warning it could erode the apolitical tradition of the military and raise morale/chain-of-command risks.
- Veterans & Retired Officers' Pushback Many veterans and retired generals blasted Hegseth's remarks as "insulting," especially comments suggesting minority officers advanced via quotas, warning it undermines professionalism and cohesion.

6. The US Government Has Shut Down (New York Times, September 30)

The U.S. federal government has officially shut down after Congress failed to pass appropriations or a continuing resolution, triggering widespread furloughs of federal employees and suspension of nonessential services. Essential operations, such as Social Security payments, national security, and Medicare, are being maintained, but agencies including the FTC have halted routine consumer protections, and cybersecurity infrastructure (CISA) is operating at drastically reduced capacity. The administration has also frozen over \$26 billion in funding to states led by Democrats, intensifying accusations of partisan weaponization of federal resources. The impasse reflects deeper institutional conflict over who controls the "power of the purse," raising high-stakes issues about executive authority, democratic accountability, and governance resilience.

IN THE WORLD

1. Trump administration clears first U.S. weapons aid packages for Ukraine (Reuters, September 16)

The Trump administration has authorized its first arms shipments to Ukraine under a new system that lets NATO allies finance U.S. weapons transfers instead of Washington bearing the full cost. Through the Prioritized Ukraine Requirements List, allies can directly fund deliveries from U.S. stockpiles, with two aid packages of about \$500 million each expected soon. These shipments are set to include urgently needed air-defense systems, underscoring the effort to sustain Ukraine's defenses against Russia. The approach is designed to accelerate aid while broadening burden-sharing among partners, with the overall aim of mobilizing around \$10 billion in support.

2. Israel launches ground offensive in Gaza City, USA responds (<u>CNN, September</u> <u>16</u>)

Israel has launched a ground offensive into Gaza City, deploying multiple divisions following earlier airstrikes and mass evacuation orders for civilians. The U.S. has expressed strong support for Israel's goal of dismantling Hamas's capabilities while also calling for restraint and pushing for humanitarian corridors and ceasefire negotiations. The escalation poses significant challenges for U.S. foreign policy, worsening humanitarian crises could increase pressure on Washington to condition support, provoke broader regional backlash, strain relations with Arab and Muslim-majority states, and force a recalibration of its role as mediator in the Middle East.

3. Trump administration grants Qatar NATO-style security guarantee (New York Post, September 17)

In response to Israel's recent airstrike in Doha that killed several people including a Qatari security officer, President Trump signed an executive order pledging a NATO-style security guarantee for Qatar: any armed attack on its territory, sovereignty, or critical infrastructure will be treated as a threat to U.S. security, and Washington may respond through diplomatic, economic, or military means. The order also calls for joint contingency planning between the U.S. and Qatar. The move underscores a sharp escalation in U.S.—Qatar defense commitments, although the legal binding force of the guarantee is limited without Senate ratification. Netanyahu contacted the Qatari leadership and expressed regret for the strikes, promising not to violate sovereignty again.

4. Trump's state visit to the United Kingdom focuses on trade and immigration (The Guardian, September 18)

Trump's second state visit to the UK was marked by ceremonial grandeur and warm public gestures, including royal honors and high-level meetings, but yielded little in terms of concrete policy outcomes. While both governments emphasized the strength of the "special relationship," they avoided substantive progress on contentious issues such as Ukraine, Gaza, and trade frictions. The visit highlighted the importance of symbolic diplomacy and reaffirmed political ties, yet also underscored the gap between public spectacle and practical results. Analysts note that such visits, while useful in projecting unity, do not necessarily resolve underlying differences or deliver the breakthroughs often expected from high-level state diplomacy.

5. Trump Addresses the UN General Assembly (Reuters, September 23)

In his U.N. speech, Trump outlined a shift toward transactional diplomacy, stressing that U.S. alliances and aid will be contingent on reciprocal benefits rather than open-ended commitments. He called out major powers like China and Russia for economic coercion, reaffirmed support for Israel in its fight against Hamas while urging restraint, and framed U.S. backing for Ukraine as dependent on tangible results and efficient use of aid. On the Palestinian issue, he acknowledged global demands for statehood but insisted that support would hinge on reforms and security guarantees. He also emphasized sovereignty and cautioned against interventionist overreach, presenting the U.S. less as a global policeman and more as a power seeking clear returns from its international engagements.

6. Trump Vows to Block Israeli Annexation of West Bank (Al-Jazeera, September 25)

RLAND WARFAG

U.S. President Donald Trump said he would "not allow Israel to annex the West Bank," stressing, "It's not gonna happen." His statement directly contradicts the stance of Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu's far-right coalition, which has repeatedly pushed for annexation, including a recent nonbinding vote in the Knesset. While some observers view Trump's words as a positive shift, many remain skeptical, noting that past U.S. presidents have voiced opposition but later tolerated Israeli actions. Analysts also highlight that Israel already exercises de facto control over much of the territory through settlements, military presence, and infrastructure, making formal annexation partly symbolic. The announcement comes against the backdrop of the International Court of Justice ruling in 2024 that Israeli settlements are illegal under international law. Trump added that he had held talks with Arab leaders on the sidelines of the UN General Assembly regarding both the West Bank issue and the worsening situation in Gaza, which he described as "really bad."

7. U.S. Releases 20-Point Gaza Peace Proposal (DW, September 30)

Former U.S. President Donald Trump and Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu unveiled a joint 20-point peace plan for Gaza aimed at ending the ongoing war with Hamas, calling for a ceasefire, the release of hostages, disarmament of Hamas, and the establishment of a new governing authority in Gaza led by technocrats or under international oversight. The plan rules out any role for Hamas in governance, though it offers amnesty to militants who comply and permits those who wish to leave Gaza to do so. Israel would withdraw in stages while maintaining security perimeters until stability is

assured, with Netanyahu backing the proposal but attaching strict conditions. While Trump and Netanyahu presented the plan as a pathway to peace, it has drawn skepticism from Palestinians, Arab states, and international observers who argue that it sidesteps the question of Palestinian statehood and largely reflects Israeli security priorities.

7. India says trade talks with US 'constructive', eyes early deal (Reuters, September 23)

India has described its recent trade talks with the United States as constructive, with Commerce Minister Piyush Goyal leading discussions in Washington alongside U.S. trade officials. The negotiations covered tariffs and broader economic cooperation, while on the sidelines of the UN General Assembly, Foreign Minister S. Jaishankar also met with U.S. Secretary of State Marco Rubio. India is pressing for the removal of an additional 25% tariff on its goods, which effectively doubled the total duty to 50% in response to its Russian oil purchases, and hopes to finalize the first phase of a trade deal by autumn. Both sides indicated willingness to deepen cooperation in supply chain resilience, technology, and clean energy, signaling that the talks could pave the way for a broader strategic economic partnership. The progress reflects an attempt by both nations to ease trade frictions and align on shared geopolitical and economic priorities.



About the Author

Yuvvraj Singh is a Research Intern at Centre for Land Warfare Studies (CLAWS), focusing his research on the USA and its implications on the Global South. He holds a Master's and Bahcelor's in Political Science (with specialisation in International Relations) from Kirori Mal College, Delhi University. He has previously worked at ORF, NITI Aayog, and Ministry of External Affairs (ICWA), researching on a range of topics concerning the Global South, Conflict, Maritime Security, and Sustainability. His research has also been published at several national and international fora like The Diplomat, RT, Indian Council of World Affairs, among others. He can be reached at yuvvraj.claws@gmail.com.



All Rights Reserved 2025 Centre for Land Warfare Studies (CLAWS)

No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, archived, retained or transmitted through print, speech or electronic media without prior written approval from C L A W S The views expressed and suggestions made in the article are solely of the author in his personal capacity and do not have any official endorsement. Attributability of the contents lies purely with author.

