

Issue Brief

October 2026
No: 560

**The Boiling Cauldron:
Kaliningrad's Military
Pressure and the
Geopolitical Paradox**

Khushboo Sen Dhuruv



The Boiling Cauldron: Kaliningrad's Military Pressure and the Geopolitical Paradox

Abstract

Kaliningrad represents a significant geopolitical paradox, serving as a strategic Russian military outpost in Europe while remaining geographically isolated from mainland Russia. Surrounded by NATO member states Poland and Lithuania, the exclave provides Moscow with an important foothold in the Baltic Sea but simultaneously exposes its logistical and security vulnerabilities. The growing confrontation between Russia and NATO, intensified by the Russia–Ukraine war, has heightened regional instability. Military deployments, transit restrictions, nuclear rhetoric and the strategic importance of the Suwalki Gap further complicates the security environment. These developments highlight the evolving security dilemma and the potential implications of escalating tensions for European security and regional stability. Further, the strategically significant Suwalki Gap, a narrow corridor between Poland and Lithuania, connects the Baltic states with the rest of NATO territory while lying between Kaliningrad and Belarus. Its vulnerability to potential military disruption further intensifies the strategic competition between Russia and NATO, thus making it a critical factor in shaping security dynamics across the Baltic region.

Keywords: Kaliningrad, Russia–NATO Relations, Baltic Sea Security, Suwalki Gap, European Security

Introduction

A major geopolitical paradox surrounds Kaliningrad, which provides Russia with a strategic military foothold in Europe while facing growing pressure from the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO). However, its geographical location also makes Kaliningrad a ‘boiling cauldron’ placing Russia under strategic pressure as NATO countries strengthen their defensive posture.

Kaliningrad, Russia’s exclave on the southeastern coast of the Baltic Sea, is located between two NATO member states viz. Poland and Lithuania. It serves as a strategically important administrative region for Moscow, thus allowing Russia to maintain its security posture and military presence in Europe despite not sharing a land border with mainland Russia.

As a geographically “separated exclave” of a country in a completely different territory surrounded by NATO member states and Baltic Sea, Kaliningrad presents a complex yet strategically important position for Moscow (Trevelyan, M. 2026). Its location allows Russia

to station military assets close to several NATO countries, maintains crucial naval facilities, deploy missile and air-defence systems and retain maritime access for its Baltic Fleet. Thus, for Russia, Kaliningrad is an advanced military outpost in the Baltic region, while for NATO, it represents a significant source of military pressure on the alliance's eastern and northern European members.

A tiny territory on the map, Kaliningrad lies in the heart of Europe and is considered as a highly dangerous area in Europe—a pressure point between Russia and the Baltic States. The geopolitical paradox of Kaliningrad is that, it is a Russian territory geographically located on European soil and surrounded by NATO member states. Kaliningrad's strategic fragility has increased following the recent military escalation involving NATO member states, challenging Russia's status-quo (military assets) in the region. Any change in this area would not only transform the power dynamics within the Baltic Sea but also reshape the European security structure. Thus, this paper aims to analyse how heightened military tensions between Russia and NATO in the Baltic Sea could reshape European security. The Suwałki Gap further complicates the strategic situation by exposing NATO's vulnerability to potential disruptions in land connectivity with the Baltic states.

Map 1: Kaliningrad



Source: <https://www.rte.ie/news/analysis-and-comment/2025/0921/1534438-suwalki-gap-nato-russia/>

Kaliningrad: A Potential Flashpoint

Russia's Kaliningrad region has become a potential flashpoint with NATO amid growing tensions in the Baltic Sea. In September 2026, Moscow warned NATO against what it described as an increasing "military presence in the Baltic Sea partly in response to concerns about threats to critical undersea energy and communications infrastructure" (Trevelyan, M. 2026). Russia also warned that it would be prepared to "resort to nuclear weapons if the Western alliance attempted to cut off Kaliningrad" (Bayer, L. and Trevelyan, M.2026).

In a diplomatic memo sent to NATO, Russia issued a warning to member states on 30 September, accusing the alliance of "preparing an air and Naval blockade" of Kaliningrad (Reuters, 2026a). Moscow described this alleged course of action as "dangerous and reckless" warning that it carried "high risks of the outbreak of a direct armed conflict". The note also raised the possibility of "Russian strikes against decision-making centres in the alliance's member states right from the outset" of such a conflict. In the diplomatic note, Russia explicitly warned that it "will be ready to use the entire arsenal of forces and capabilities at its disposal, including nuclear weapons, in order to defend its territory should NATO countries undertake any attempt aimed at isolating the Kaliningrad Region from the rest of the country" (Bayer, L. and Trevelyan, M. 2026). This warning highlights Moscow's growing concerns about the security of its heavily militarised administrative oblast amid what it perceives as an increasing NATO threat.

In response, NATO's spokesperson Allison Hart stated that NATO is a "defensive alliance and none of its activities or exercises pose a risk to any part of Russia". She also urged Moscow "to end its unprovoked full-scale invasion of Ukraine" and refrain from "increasingly reckless behaviour towards the allies and to cease its irresponsible nuclear rhetoric". Hart further stated that "Russia's use of hybrid tactics is a sign of desperation. But we will not be dissuaded from our support to Ukraine". "We have what it takes to defend every inch of Allied territory and remain strong, ready, and able to counter any threat" (Guastamacchia, P. 2026).

Why Kaliningrad Matters to Russia

The current crisis surrounding Kaliningrad has become more pronounced since the Russia-Ukraine war. However, before examining these dynamics, it is important to understand how Kaliningrad became part of Russia.

The transformation of Europe's political map following the Second World War, played a decisive role in shaping the region's present status. "Kaliningrad was formerly Königsberg, the capital of East Prussia" and a historic German city of strategic importance in the Baltic region (Trevelyan, M. 2026). In April 1945, the Red Army captured Königsberg. Following the war, the northern part of East Prussia came under Soviet control, and Königsberg was renamed Kaliningrad in July 1946.

A major geopolitical shift took place during 1990-1991, when Lithuania became an independent nation, and the Soviet Union subsequently began to dissolve. The disintegration of the Soviet Union left newly independent states between Kaliningrad and mainland Russia, thus transforming the region into a Russian exclave. Since 1991, Kaliningrad has remained part of Russian territory while becoming geographically separated from the Russian mainland by neighbouring countries and the Baltic Sea.

The region gained more strategic significance, as well as new critical security challenges after Poland joined Nato in 1999 and the Baltic states (Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia) joined alliance in 2004. The Kaliningrad serves as a stronghold for Russia, providing a military base for the Baltic surface fleet, naval infantry and nuclear-capable Iskander missile systems. Its location gives Moscow a strategic position from which to monitor NATO's military planning and activities in the region. Thus, Russia's transit through NATO's own backyard makes this exclave more strategically critical than its size might suggest.

Since the beginning of the Russia- Ukraine war in February 2022, relations between Russia and the West have deteriorated significantly. Western countries have imposed sanctions on Moscow and provided military and defence assistance to Ukraine, while NATO has strengthened its presence along its eastern flank in response to Russia's invasion. Consequently, this 'forced' Russia adopted assertive military posture in Kaliningrad.

In June 2022, Lithuania restricted the transit through its territory of certain goods subject to EU sanctions against Russia, affecting transport to Russia's Kaliningrad exclave (Restle, B. & Ghaedi, M. 2022). As Kaliningrad has no direct connection to mainland Russia, supplies reach the exclave by rail and road through Lithuania, under the EU-Russia transit framework or by sea across the Baltic. Following the Russia-Ukraine War, Lithuania implemented EU sanctions more strictly and which resulted in intensified tension over transit access to Kaliningrad— a move that Russia described as a "blockade" (Signal, M.D. 2026).

This development underscored the region's dependence on transit routes through neighbouring countries and exposed an important economic and logistical vulnerability. Therefore, Kaliningrad is not only militarily important to Russia but also dependent on transport and trade links that are affected by European sanctions. Therefore, recent military tensions challenging Russia's base in the geostrategically significant Baltic region could lead Moscow to use "nuclear weapons" to defend its territory at any cost (Bayer, L. and Trevelyan, M. 2026).

The Suwalki Gap: NATO's Critical Corridor

Russia's westernmost military outpost, Kaliningrad, is closely connected to the strategically important Suwalki Gap. More strategically it was called as an "Island within territory of NATO right now" by Polish political scientist Dr Damian Szacawa (Nolan, L. 2026). Described as the most conflictual point on the world map, this narrow stretch of land, approximately 65 kilometres wide, lies along the Polish-Lithuanian border, between Russia's Kaliningrad exclave to the northwest and Belarus—a close Russian ally to the southeast. The corridor is significantly crucial from the regional security point of view because it connects the all three Baltic states by land with the rest of NATO territory.

Map 2: The Suwalki Gap



Source: <https://laststandonzombieisland.com/2026/02/24/gaming-the-suwalki-gap/>

Since the Russia's full scale invasion of Ukraine, concerns have grown that, the Baltic states could face increased military pressure from Moscow. Any military operation, involving Belarus, which is regarded as extension to Russia's military space or a close Russian ally, could expose the vulnerability of this corridor and complicate NATO's ability to reinforce the Baltic states (Zaborowski, M. 2026).

A potential disruption of the Suwałki Gap, can directly impact Baltic state's security which could become more difficult for NATO to provide land-based reinforcements to the Baltic states. Military pressure could extend to block access of Baltic Sea by "using drones, mines, missiles and electronic attack" (Zaborowski, M. 2026). Such developments could challenge NATO's regional security posture and raise questions about the alliance's response under Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty, which establishes the principle of collective defence.

Finland which shares land borders with Russia joined NATO in April 2023, followed by Sweden in March 2024. Their accession strengthened the alliance's strategic position in northern Europe and the Baltic Sea region. Nevertheless, the geographical proximity of Kaliningrad, Belarus, and the Suwałki Gap continues to create significant security challenges for NATO members.

From Russia's perspective, strengthening Kaliningrad's military capabilities helps protect the exclave against what Moscow perceives as 'potential NATO aggression' and enables it to counter the alliance's regional military presence in its oblast. This position is also framed in legal terms, as Russia's security concerns are linked to its allegation that NATO allies attempting to isolate Kaliningrad. In this context, Article 2(4) of the UN Charter states that "All Members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations" (UN Charter 1945)—a relevant justification for Russia's security concerns regarding NATO's growing military posture in the region.

Thus, a close proximity to Central-Eastern Europe, Russia's Kaliningrad in the west and Suwalki Gap to the southeast present a conventional challenge for both Russia and NATO. This security dilemma reflects the competing geopolitical interests of Moscow and the alliance, with each side seeking to protect its strategic position while remaining concerned about the other's military activities.

Russia-NATO Stand-off: Assertive Posture in the Baltic

Against the backdrop, recent claims by Moscow that “NATO is preparing for air and blockade of Kaliningrad and Kaliningrad region”, have raised alarm in Russia (Cook, L. 2026). Moscow has also highlighted the development of powerful radar facilities in the exclave, which is “capable of tracking aircraft, missiles and ships as far as 3,200 kilometres (2,000 miles) away” (Kizil, N.T. 2026).

Russia has established “offensive and defensive capabilities” in Kaliningrad. Its offensive systems include long-range strike systems such as nuclear styled Iskander-M missile, Kinzhal-capable MiG-31 aircraft, and anti-ship missiles (International Crisis Group, n.d.). Some of them are nuclear-capable and can threaten targets across Europe, however, Russia often denies these alleged claims. Russia also maintains air defence systems, including S-400 and Pantsir-S1 systems, intended to protect its military infrastructure and restrict hostile aircraft and missile operations. Russia heightened naval activities, including the deployment of frigate Admiral Kasatonov, an advanced warship equipped with “long-range strike weapons such as Zircon hypersonic missiles” near Germany’s Fehmarn Island in the Baltic Sea— has exposed the vulnerability of the region to potential military escalation (Defence Correspondent, 2026).

Russia’s military activities in the region after Russia’s war in Ukraine, sought sharp NATO response, resulted in increasing military posture along the eastern-flank including multinational battlegroup and allied presence in Poland and Lithuania. European member states, particularly Germany, Baltic States and Poland, have also increased their attention to defence spending and the development of air, naval and coastal defence capabilities, including anti-ship systems.

“NATO’s Steadfast Defender 2024”, had conducted largest military exercise, involving 90,000 personnel from NATO’s 31 member states and Sweden, which was a partner country at that time. The exercise demonstrated alliance unity and preparedness. The exercise also showcased NATO’s readiness to respond to potential threats and reinforced its commitment to protecting the allied territory (NATO, 2024).

However, recent escalation followed NATO’s announced plans to increase its military presence and activities in the airspace around Kaliningrad, reportedly in mid-August 2026. NATO stated that these measures were related to concerns “over threats to critical undersea

energy and communications infrastructure”, alongside efforts to “strengthen deterrence along its eastern flank” (Pandey, A. 2026). On 18 August 2026, NATO led a large-scale air exercise along the alliance allies over Poland and Baltic region, near Kaliningrad. The activities involving “E-3A AWACS surveillance aircraft, aerial refuelling and electronic-warfare” operations near Kaliningrad, increased the risk of a direct confrontation with Russia (Svan, J.H. 2026).

From NATO’s perspective, any such exercise or activities do not pose any kind of threat to Russia. Whereas, Russia perceived it as an attempt to isolate Kaliningrad and clearly stated that “We have no intention of attacking anyone... if we face aggression, we will be forced to respond” (Camba, C. 2026). This is a direct warning to European countries; Russia threatened to use nuclear weapons to protect its Kaliningrad at any cost.

Despite small in territory, Kaliningrad holds immense strategic value for Moscow. It allows Russia to project its military power, counter NATO’s presence and maintain a foothold in the Baltic Sea, which is surrounded by western allies. Located in NATO’s backyard, Kaliningrad is a hub of advanced frigates and air defence system that gives Moscow the ability to deny access to NATO naval operations, restrict military access, and disrupt military communication and navigation system during a conflict.

So, what does this crisis reveal about European security? The growing confrontation between Russia and NATO has increased security risks across Europe, particularly in the Baltic Sea region. As both sides strengthened their military capabilities and defensive postures, the region is likely to remain a critical point of tension in European security. However, the growing threat of hybrid warfare and attacks on undersea infrastructure has raised serious concerns about European security. The High Representative of the EU and the Vice-President of the European Commission, Kaja Kallas, warned that Russia increasing hybrid campaign across Europe poses a serious threat to European security. She stated the “Europe has seen a spike in the Russian hybrid attacks and sabotage attempts and airspace violations in recent weeks. Russian missiles and drones violated Moldovan airspace again over the weekend. And this is completely unacceptable” (Reuters, 2026b). Consequently, the growing Russian sabotage campaign has raised security concerns particularly in Poland and the Baltic states. This has pushed European countries to strengthen intelligence-sharing, enhance defensive capabilities, protect critical infrastructure, and develop coordinated measures to deter and respond to such threats. Similarly, Sweden and Lithuania have also expressed security concerns over

identifying of hundreds of properties owned by Russian nationals “near military bases and critical infrastructure” (Kim, Y. 2026). These properties are feared to be potential instruments of hybrid warfare against NATO.

Thus, this reflects a complex geopolitical paradox for both Russia and NATO. Kaliningrad remains central to this strategic competition, it provides Moscow with a forward military position in Europe, monitoring NATO's each and every activity in the region. However, its geographical isolation creates strategic vulnerability for Russia and security challenges for NATO's member states. Meanwhile, NATO faces challenges in deterring Russian threat without escalating conventional tension, further deepening the security dilemma across the Baltic region.

Conclusion

Kaliningrad is in a geopolitical paradox due to its strategic geographical location. A Russian territory in Eastern Europe, it is surrounded by Western alliance member states and supported by its closest ally, Belarus. This provides Moscow with a strong military presence to counter NATO without triggering a direct conflict. Given its geopolitical relevance for Russia, this also creates potential vulnerabilities and makes it a geopolitical anomaly in the Baltic region, with the constant possibility of being targeted for destruction.

The Baltic Sea, wherein NATO maintains a strong naval presence, Russia operates its Baltic Fleet, and US-backed allies maintain a strategic foothold in Europe, serves as both a strategic shield for Russia and NATO and a pressure point for potential conflict escalation. However, one of Russia's biggest challenges is that Kaliningrad's geographical isolation makes it difficult to reinforce and sustain during a major conflict. This complex security dilemma is redefining the European security landscape. For Eastern European countries, particularly Poland and Lithuania, Kaliningrad remains one of the most persistent points of conflict in Europe's security architecture.

Lithuania has firmly raised its voice against Russia in the Baltic region. Since Russia's invasion of Ukraine in February 2022, Vilnius has provided unwavering support to Ukraine and imposed stricter sanctions on Russia, restricting certain forms of land transit and trade.

Belarus's presence in the region makes the geopolitical dynamics more realpolitik-oriented. As a geostrategic extension of Russian power, Belarus increases Moscow's capacity

to exert pressure in the Baltic region. The Suwałki Gap, located between Kaliningrad and Belarus, represents a strategically vulnerable point in NATO territory. Connecting the Baltic states with the rest of Europe, the Suwałki Corridor could become a focal point of military confrontation, potentially reshaping European security into an increasingly unstable and fragile order.

Thus, both Russia and NATO are pursuing their strategic interests and maximising their power to counter one another. This rivalry has become more evident since the Russia–Ukraine war began. Whether these growing tensions will take the world towards a Third World War remains to be seen.

Works Cited

Bayer, L., and Trevelyan, M. (2026, September 30). Russia sends nuclear warning to NATO as tensions rise in the Baltic. *Reuters*. <https://www.reuters.com/business/aerospace-defense/russia-issues-nuclear-warning-nato-tensions-rise-baltic-2026-09-30/>.

Camba, G. (2026, October 3). Putin's statement on Kaliningrad and Russia's response to potential aggression. *Euronews*. <https://ru.euronews.com/2026/10/03/putin-kaliningrad-statement>.

Cook, L. (2026, September 30). Russia warns NATO of a possible nuclear response if Kaliningrad is cut off. *The Washington Post*. https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/2026/09/30/nato-russia-nuclear-kaliningrad-hybrid-sabotage/8101f542-bcef-11f1-81fc-9b76f8343b6c_story.html.

Defence Correspondent. (2026, August 18). Putin sends hypersonic missile warship into NATO's backyard. *London Loves Business*. <https://londonlovesbusiness.com/putin-sends-hypersonic-missile-warship-into-natos-backyard/>.

Guastamacchia, P. (2026). NATO hits back at Russia warning over Kaliningrad. *Rapporteur*. <https://www.rapporteur.com/news/nato-hits-back-at-russia-warning-over-kaliningrad/>.

International Crisis Group. (n.d.). Kaliningrad and the Suwalki Gap: Conflicting threat perceptions in the Baltic Sea region. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/qna/europe/russia-europe/kaliningrad-and-suwalki-gap-conflicting-threat-perceptions-baltic-sea-region>.

Kim, Y. (2026, October 22). NATO states act against Russian properties near bases. *The Chosun Daily*. <https://www.chosun.com/english/worlden/2026/10/22/XXA727YLANBDNOMLSRBGKLM04/>.

Kizil, N. T. (2026, October 20). Russia builds long-range radar in Kaliningrad: Reports. *Daily Sabah*. <https://www.dailysabah.com/world/europe/russia-builds-long-range-radar-in-kaliningrad-reports>.

NATO. (2024, January 26). NATO's Steadfast Defender 2024: Unprecedented military exercise signals alliance unity and preparedness. <https://www.act.nato.int/article/steadfast-defender-2024-signals-alliance-unity-and-preparedness/>.

Nolan, L. (2025, September 21). What is the Suwalki Gap and is it NATO's weak point? *RTE News*. <https://www.rte.ie/news/analysis-and-comment/2025/0921/1534438-suwalki-gap-nato/>.

Kaliningrad's Military Pressure and the Geopolitical Paradox

Pandey, A. (2026, October 2). Why Kaliningrad matters to Putin: The Suwałki Gap vulnerability, Kremlin's nuclear threat and India link. *The Times of India*. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/europe/why-putin-cannot-let-go-of-kaliningrad-the-suwalki-gap-vulnerability-kremlins-nuclear-warning-and-india-link/articleshow/134637767.cms>.

Reuters. (2026, September 30). Russia warns NATO of possible nuclear response if Kaliningrad is cut off. *Al Jazeera*. <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2026/9/30/russia-warns-nato-of-possible-nuclear-response-if-kaliningrad-is-cut-off>.

Reuters. (2026, October 5). Russia puts lives at risk across Europe with hybrid attacks, Kallas says. <https://www.reuters.com/world/russia-puts-lives-risk-across-europe-with-hybrid-attacks-kallas-says-2026-10-05/>.

Restle, B., & Ghaedi, M. (2022, June 22). Why is Kaliningrad so important to Russia? *Deutsche Welle*. <https://www.dw.com/en/explained-why-is-kaliningrad-so-important-to-russia/a-62206978>.

Signal, M.D. (2026, October 7). The blockade that doesn't exist: How Europe could stumble across Russia's Kaliningrad red line. *Modern Diplomacy*. <https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2026/10/07/kaliningrad-red-line-nato-baltic-blockade-russia-warning/>.

Svan, J. H. (2026, August 19). Flurry of NATO activity in Baltic region aimed at deterrence, US officials say. *Stars and Stripes*. <https://www.stripes.com/theaters/europe/2026-08-19/nato-aircraft-training-kaliningrad-russia-threat-22599202.html>.

Trevelyan, M. (2026, September 30). Explainer: Why Russia's Kaliningrad is a potential flashpoint with NATO. *Reuters*. <https://www.reuters.com/business/aerospace-defense/why-russias-kaliningrad-is-potential-flashpoint-with-nato-2026-09-30/>.

United Nations. (1945). Charter of the United Nations and Statute of the International Court of Justice. <https://treaties.un.org/doc/publication/ctc/uncharter.pdf>.

Zaborowski, M. (2026, October 21). Holding the line: Baltic security in an era of persistent threat. *GLOBSEC*. <https://www.globsec.org/what-we-do/publications/holding-line-baltic-security-era-persistent-threat>.

About the Author

Khushboo Sen Dhuruv is Research Assistant at CLAWS and has completed her Ph.D. from SIS, JNU. Her research interests include international politics, European security, Russia, transatlantic relations, and India-EU strategic affairs.



All Rights Reserved 2026, Centre for Land Warfare Studies (CLAWS)

No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, archived, retained or transmitted through print, speech or electronic media without prior written approval from CLAWS. The views expressed and suggestions made in the article are solely of the author in his personal capacity and do not have any official endorsement. Attributability of the contents lies purely with author.